PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 29 JUN 2005

PCT

WIPO

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	ON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
10589-34-228	International filing date (day	v/month/vear)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International application No.	26 March 2004 (26.03.2004		27 March 2003 (27.03.2003)
PCT/US04/09590 International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and I	PC	
IPC(7): A01N 61/00; C12Q 1/00; G01N	33/566, 573 and 574, and US (CL: 435/ 4, 6, 7.2, 7.2	1, 41, 69.2, 91.3, 183 ; 514/ 1, 2
Applicant	33,000,010		
PTC THERAPEUTICS			
t mile was at in the internal	tional preliminary examina r Article 35 and transmitted	ation report, establi	shed by this International Preliminary cording to Article 36.
	a total of 🙏 sheets, includ		
	anied by ANNEXES, com		
a. 🔲 (sent to the applica	ant and to the International	Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:
this report a	nd/or sheets containing rec 607 of the Administrative I	ctifications authoriz instructions).	we been amended and are the basis of ed by this Authority (see Rule 70.16
that goes be	yond the disclosure in the independent of the independent of the Supplemental Box.	nternational applica	ority considers contain an amendment ation as filed, as indicated in item 4 of
b. (sent to the Interne	ational Bureau only) a total g a sequence listing and/or e Supplemental Box Rel		nd number of electronic carrier(s)) to, in computer readable form only, as to Listing (see Section 802 of the
This report contains indic	ations relating to the follow	ring items:	
	Basis of the report		
	riority		
	Von-establishment of opinion of opinion of opinion of the contract of the cont	on with regard to no	velty, inventive step and industrial
	ack of unity of invention		
Box No. V I	Reasoned statement under ndustrial applicability, citat	Article 35(2) with tions and explanation	h regard to novelty, inventive step or ans supporting such statement
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	ational application	
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the		
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	n of this report
26 October 2004 (26.10.2004)		16 June 2005 (16.06	(2005)
Name and mailing address of the IPHA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Atta: FEAAUS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. 571-272-1600			Brugs f
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 [Internation of the Part			

International application No.	
PCT/US04/09590	

Box No.	I Basis of the report
unles	regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, s otherwise indicated under this item.
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
to the	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheats which have been furnished receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally fitted" and are not ad to this report.
\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed/furnished
\boxtimes	the description:
	pages 1-150 as originally filed/furnished
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by the
_	
\boxtimes	the claims:
	pages 151-155 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on
M	the drawings:
	pages 1/2-2/2 as originally filed/furnished
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	the description, pages
	the claims, Nos
	the drawings, sheets/figs
	the sequence listing (specify):
	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4. 🔲	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments ansexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Dox (Rule 70.2(c)).
	the description, pages
	the claims, Nos
	the drawings, sheets/figs
	the sequence listing (specify):
	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
	- · · · · ·
* If item	4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

International	applicatio	n No.		
PCT/US04/0	9590			

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), α to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
the entire international application
Claims Nos. 25
because:
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an International preliminary evamination (apecify):
the description, claims or drawings (Indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (apocify):
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 25
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
the written form has not been furnished
does not comply with the standard
the computer readable form has not been furnished
does not comply with the standard
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bts of the Administrative Instructions.
See Supplemental Box for further details.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY	PCT/US04/09590			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
I. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the appreciate the claims. paid additional fees. paid additional fees under protest. neither restricted nor paid additional fees.	plicant has:			
This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accomplied with.	ocordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:			
4. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following all parts the parts relating to claims Nos. 1:24				
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. IV) (January 2004)				

International application No.

International application No.	_
PCT/US04/09590	

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Arti- applicability; citations and expl	icle 35(2) with regard to noverty, inventive step of industrial lanations supporting such statement	
Statement			
N	ovelty (N)	Claims 2-6, 9-10, 12, 14, 16 and 22-24	YES
14	ovally (xv)	Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15 and 17-21	_NO
Tex	ventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	_YES
	(vontive into) (m)	Claims 1-24	_NO
Ten	dustrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-24	_YES
14.	another representation (a sy	Claims NONE	_NO
Please See Co	and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Trimustion Sheet		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/US04/09590

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
--------------	---

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 25 is not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) and 6.4(b) since "said subject" lacks antecedent basis when referring to claim 18 and a multiple dependent claim is improperly dependent on another multiple dependent claim.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/US04/09590

Supr	lemental	Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 18-19 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 5,726,195A (HILL et al.)

Hill et al. disclose small molecule antifungal (e.g anti-yeast) compounds for treating microbial infections when administered to a host (e.g., human). These compounds inhibit RNA enzymes (e.g. synthesises) and comprise structure within the scope of the presently olaimed invention (e.g. see examples and patent claims). The ability to inhibit (RNA ligaso is inherently present. In any event the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 20-21 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 6,446,032 B1 (SCHIMMEL)

Schimmel discloses small molecule (e.g. see bottom of col. 27-28) antiproliferative (e.g. chemotherapeutic agents: see col. 3) compounds for treating cancer when administered to a host (e.g. human). These RNA (e.g. tRNA) binding compounds comprise structure within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g. see col. 27-28, examples and patent claims). The ability to inhibit tRNA ligase is inherently present due to the ability of these compounds to bind tRNA. In any event the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 18-21 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by WO 01/25486 (RANA).

The Rana reference discloses assay-derived tRNA inhibiting (e.g. binding: see e.g. bottom of page 9-top of top of page 10; and chims, specially claims 1,2,28-30, 40-45,) compounds within the scope of the presently colaimed invention (e.g. planiar \$2.50) which are antiproliferative disorders of antiproliferative disorders (e.g. cancer, i.e. see chim 46) when administered to humans. The ability to intibit WNA ligase is inherently present due to the ability of these compounds to bind RNA (e.g. fRNA). In any event the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 18-21 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by WO 02/083837A1(ALMSTEAD).

The Almstead reference discloses assay-derived tRNA binding compounds (e.g. see pages 3-4; bottom of page 10-11) within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g. see pages 21-23; claim 5) which are antiproliferative and antifungal for use in treating fungal (e.g. yeast) infections and antiproliferative disorders (e.g. cancer) when administered to humans. See claims; page 12; page 39 eto. The ability to inhibit tRNA ligase is inherently present due to the ability of these compounds to bind RNA (e.g. tRNA). In any

International application No. PCT/US04/09590

Sunn	Law	nnte	JROS

. . . *

event the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 18-21 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by WO 02/083953 A1 (RANDO et al.).

The Rando et al., reference discloses assay-derived RNA binding (e.g. tRNA) compounds which effect RNA has tell effect occupiences in vivo (e.g. RNA) applicing: see page 10; bottom of page 12-page 13) which compounds are writin the scope of the presently calized invention (e.g. see claim 5) which are antiproliferative and uniformly for use in treating fungel (e.g. yease) infections and antiproliferative disorders (e.g. cancer) when administered to humans. See e.g. pages 12-17; pages 35 et al. The ability to inhibit (RNA) (e.g. see inherently present due to the ability of these compounds to bin RNA) (e.g. to this RNA). In any event the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15 and 17 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by GREER, Molecular and Cellular Biology Vol. 6, No. 2 (Feb. 1986) pages 635-644.

Creer teaches a competitive assay for joining IRNA halves (e.g. 5' and 3' IRNA half molecular) in which ligation is measured between yeast ligase (e.g. a linguit IRNA splicing ligase derived from a yeast cell free extract) and T4 ligase (e.g. a "small organic" compounds as compared to a control. See e.g. Abitant's pages 628-641.

Châms 1-24 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over WO 01/25486 (RANA), WO 02/0838374 (ALAKSTEAD) and/or WO 02/083895 A1 (RANDO et al.) in view of OREIRA, Molecular and Cellular Biology, HYDE-DERLY/SCHER et al. Cham. & Biol. Vol. 7, No. 1 and It et al., Sederce VOL. 280 (49%).

The presently claimed invention is directed to identifying antifungal/antiproliferative compounds by screening (e.g., hightroughput) compounds (e.g. library derived) for their ability to inhibit the ligation of mammalian/yeart fRNA half molecules by inhibiting iRNA-ligase binding relative to a control.

Screening assays (e.g. highdroughput) of single compounds or compound libraries for their ability to disrupt RNA (e.g. 4RNA) interactions (e.g. including splicing) in order to identify artifungal/instruction fraction (e.g. including splicing) in order to identify artifungal/instruction fraction between the artifungal/instruction between the bright prosperated by reference in the surfavey.

ALMSTEAD AND/OR RANDO reference whose teaching discussed above to herly incorporated by reference in the surfavey.

The RANA, ALMSTEAD AND/OR RANDO reference methods differ from the presently claimed invention by failing to explicitly teach the application of its methods to RNA ligation assays which incorporate tRNA half molecules and tRNA ligate.

However, Li et al. teach that the tRNA splicing pathway is analogous in mammals and other organisms (e.g. fungi).

In this regard, Greer teaches a competitive assay for joining tRNA halves (e.g. S and3 tRNA half molecules) in which ligation is measured between yeast ligase (e.g. a fungal tRNA splicing ligase derived from a yeast cell free extract) and T4 ligase (e.g. a "small organds" compound) as compared to a control. See e.g., Abstract, pages 638-641. Greet's competitive endomolease/ligase assays would be expected to be extrapolisable to mammalian systems in light of the Li et al. reference teaching.

Additionally, the HYDE-DERUYSCHER et al reference tenches that high-throughput screening of "small molecule" compound libraries (e.g. phage) is ideal for screening "small molecule" enzyme inhibitors for a variety of different enzymes, including tigases.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to utilize RNA ligation assays (e.g. incorporating tRNA half molecules and ligages) in the highlroughput screening methods of RANA, ALMSTIAD AND/OR RANDO since these references specifically suggest screening small molecule libraries for compounds which disrupt (RNA interactions including splicing und in ligit of the secondary reference teaching that tRNA splicing pathway in measurals/fungi is known and analogous; and the known teaching of compositive tRNA endomedease/ligase assays, with the desirability of using highlroughput screening of small molecular libraries for screening enzyme (e.g. ligase) binding compounds as fing candidates.

Claims 1-24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

	- NEW CITATIONS			
NONE				